# Diagonalization

Bioinformatics Degree Algebra

Departament de Matemàtiques



# Outline

Definition and examples

Long-term behavior

Stochastic matrices

# Outline

Definition and examples

Long-term behavior

Stochastic matrices

# Example: Population growth (Leslie model)

The Vollmar-Wasserman beetles (revisited)

- $x_1$  = number of youths (beetles 0 to 1 years old)
- x<sub>2</sub> = number of juveniles (beetles 1 to 2 year old)
- $x_3$  = number of adults (beetles 2 to 3 year old)

We put these numbers in a vector  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$ .

We want to study the number of youths, juveniles and adults in a certain year k, assuming that this year is k = 0. We write  $x_i(k)$  for the quantity  $x_i$  in year k, and also write this information as a vector x(k):

$$x(k) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1(k) \\ x_2(k) \\ x_3(k) \end{pmatrix}$$

#### We know that:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1(k+1) \\ x_2(k+1) \\ x_3(k+1) \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 & 3 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{A} \begin{pmatrix} x_1(k) \\ x_2(k) \\ x_3(k) \end{pmatrix} \text{ this is, } x(k+1) = Ax(k)$$

#### Definition

A homogeneous linear discrete dynamical system is a matrix equation of the form

$$\mathbf{x}(k+1) = A\mathbf{x}(k), \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where A is an  $n \times n$  square matrix , and

$$\mathbf{x}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1(k) \\ \vdots \\ x_n(k) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The vector  $\mathbf{x}(0)$  is called an **initial condition**. A **solution** (or trajectory) is a collection of vectors  $\{\mathbf{x}(k)\}_{k\geq 0}$  such that each  $\mathbf{x}(k)$  satisfies the equation above.

#### Lemma

The solutions to the system x(k+1) = Ax(k) are  $\{x(k)\}_{k\geq 0}$  with

$$\mathbf{x}(k) = A^k \mathbf{x}(0), \ k \ge 1.$$

- There's a unique solution with given initial condition x(0).
- The constant solutions x(k) = x for all k are called steady states.
- If x is a steady state ⇒ x = Ax, so x is either 0 or an eigenvector of A of eigenvalue 1.

#### Lemma

The solutions to the system x(k+1) = Ax(k) are  $\{x(k)\}_{k>0}$  with

$$\mathbf{x}(k) = A^k \mathbf{x}(0), \ k \geq 1.$$

- There's a unique solution with given initial condition x(0).
- The constant solutions x(k) = x for all k are called steady states.
- If x is a steady state ⇒ x = Ax, so x is either 0 or an eigenvector of A of eigenvalue 1.

#### Lemma

The solutions to the system x(k+1) = Ax(k) are  $\{x(k)\}_{k\geq 0}$  with

$$\mathbf{x}(k) = A^k \mathbf{x}(0), \ k \geq 1.$$

- There's a unique solution with given initial condition x(0).
- The constant solutions x(k) = x for all k are called steady states.
- If x is a steady state ⇒ x = Ax, so x is either 0 or an eigenvector of A of eigenvalue 1.

#### Lemma

The solutions to the system x(k+1) = Ax(k) are  $\{x(k)\}_{k\geq 0}$  with

$$\mathbf{x}(k) = A^k \mathbf{x}(0), \ k \geq 1.$$

- There's a unique solution with given initial condition x(0).
- The constant solutions x(k) = x for all k are called steady states.
- If x is a steady state ⇒ x = Ax, so x is either 0 or an eigenvector of A of eigenvalue 1.

# Example

In the previous example, if  $x(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 40 \\ 40 \\ 20 \end{pmatrix}$ , then:

$$\mathbf{x}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 40\\40\\20 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{x}(1) = A\mathbf{x}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 220\\20\\10 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{x}(2) = A^{2}\mathbf{x}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 110\\110\\5 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{x}(3) = \begin{pmatrix} 455\\55\\27.5 \end{pmatrix}, \dots$$

The eigenvalues of A are 1.5, -1.31, -0.19 (0 is the only steady state in this case).

Will this population eventually survive?

Study x(k) when k tends to infinity; this is called the long-term behavior (or asymptotic behavior) of the system.

As x(k) = A<sup>k</sup>x(0), we need to compute powers of matrices and study its limit when k tends to infinite.

# Example

In the previous example, if  $x(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 40 \\ 40 \\ 20 \end{pmatrix}$ , then:

$$\mathbf{x}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 40\\ 40\\ 20 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{x}(1) = A\mathbf{x}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 220\\ 20\\ 10 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{x}(2) = A^{2}\mathbf{x}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 110\\ 110\\ 5 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{x}(3) = \begin{pmatrix} 455\\ 55\\ 27.5 \end{pmatrix}, \dots$$

The eigenvalues of A are 1.5, -1.31, -0.19 (0 is the only steady state in this case).

Will this population eventually survive?

- Study x(k) when k tends to infinity; this is called the long-term behavior (or asymptotic behavior) of the system.
- As x(k) = A<sup>k</sup>x(0), we need to compute powers of matrices and study its limit when k tends to infinite.

# Example (cont.)

In the previous example, if 
$$x(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 40 \\ 40 \\ 20 \end{pmatrix}$$
, then:

$$\mathbf{x}(10) = \mathcal{A}^{10}\mathbf{x}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 4571.91\\2162.37\\238.50 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{x}(20) = \begin{pmatrix} 301860.73\\110036.15\\16541.80 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{x}(30) = \begin{pmatrix} 17971431.25\\6129573.17\\995030.54 \end{pmatrix}, \dots$$

( . . .

it seems to go to infinite. But, the proportion between populations seems to stabilize:

$$s_{s=x_{1}(10)+x_{2}(10)+x_{3}(10) \Rightarrow} \quad \frac{x_{1}(10)}{s} = 0.6558 \quad \frac{x_{2}(10)}{s} = 0.3100 \quad \frac{x_{3}(10)}{s} = 0.0342$$

$$s_{s=x_{1}(20)+x_{2}(20)+x_{3}(20) \Rightarrow} \quad \frac{x_{1}(20)}{s} = 0.7046 \quad \frac{x_{2}(20)}{s} = 0.2568 \quad \frac{x_{3}(20)}{s} = 0.0386$$

$$s_{s=x_{1}(30)+x_{2}(30)+x_{3}(30) \Rightarrow} \quad \frac{x_{1}(30)}{s} = 0.7161 \quad \frac{x_{2}(30)}{s} = 0.2442 \quad \frac{x_{3}(30)}{s} = 0.0397$$

# Example (cont.)

Also the rate between x(k) and x(k+1) (the "growth rate") seems to have a tendency:

$$\frac{x_1(31)}{x_1(30)} = 1.623 \quad \frac{x_2(31)}{x_2(30)} = 1.372 \quad \frac{x_3(31)}{x_3(30)} = 1.663$$
$$\frac{x_1(41)}{x_1(40)} = 1.507 \quad \frac{x_2(41)}{x_2(40)} = 1.491 \quad \frac{x_3(41)}{x_3(40)} = 1.510$$

This is all related to eigenvectors and eigenvalues!

# Outline

Definition and examples

Long-term behavior

Stochastic matrices

#### Diagonalizable matrices: long-term behavior. Example: x(k + 1) = Ax(k) where

 $A = egin{pmatrix} 0.65 & -0.15 \ -0.15 & 0.65 \end{pmatrix}.$ 

Solutions:  $x(k) = A^k x(0)$ . To compute  $A^k$ : diagonalize A.

- The eigenvalues of *A* are 0.8 and 0.5 with respective eigenvectors and  $v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .
- We write x(0) in the basis  $v_1, v_2$ :  $x(0) = c_1v_1 + c_2v_2$ . For ex., if  $x(0) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $c_1 = 2, c_2 = 1$ ,  $x(0) = 2v_1 + 1v_2$ .

• As  $Av_i = \lambda_i v_i$ , we have:

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(k) &= A^{k}\mathbf{x}(0) = A^{k}(c_{1}v_{1} + c_{2}v_{2}) = c_{1}A^{k}v_{1} + c_{2}A^{k}v_{2} = c_{1}\lambda_{1}^{k}v_{1} + c_{2}\lambda_{2}^{k} \\ \mathbf{x}(k) &= c_{1}0.8^{k} \begin{pmatrix} -1\\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + c_{2}0.5^{k} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$ 

Example: x(k+1) = Ax(k) where

$$A = egin{pmatrix} 0.65 & -0.15 \ -0.15 & 0.65 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Solutions:  $x(k) = A^k x(0)$ . To compute  $A^k$ : diagonalize A.

► The eigenvalues of *A* are 0.8 and 0.5 with respective eigenvectors and  $v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

• We write x(0) in the basis  $v_1, v_2$ :  $x(0) = c_1v_1 + c_2v_2$ . For ex., if  $x(0) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $c_1 = 2$ ,  $c_2 = 1$ ,  $x(0) = 2v_1 + 1v_2$ .

• As  $Av_i = \lambda_i v_i$ , we have:

 $\mathbf{x}(k) = A^{k}\mathbf{x}(0) = A^{k}(c_{1}v_{1}+c_{2}v_{2}) = c_{1}A^{k}v_{1}+c_{2}A^{k}v_{2} = c_{1}\lambda_{1}^{k}v_{1}+c_{2}\lambda_{2}^{k}$  $\mathbf{x}(k) = c_{1}0.8^{k}\binom{-1}{k} + c_{2}0.5^{k}\binom{1}{k} + c_{2}\binom{0}{k}$ 

Example: x(k+1) = Ax(k) where

$$A = egin{pmatrix} 0.65 & -0.15 \ -0.15 & 0.65 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Solutions:  $x(k) = A^k x(0)$ . To compute  $A^k$ : diagonalize A.

► The eigenvalues of *A* are 0.8 and 0.5 with respective eigenvectors and  $v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

• We write x(0) in the basis  $v_1, v_2$ :  $x(0) = c_1v_1 + c_2v_2$ . For ex., if  $x(0) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $c_1 = 2, c_2 = 1$ ,  $x(0) = 2v_1 + 1v_2$ .

• As  $Av_i = \lambda_i v_i$ , we have:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{x}(k) &= A^{k}\mathbf{x}(0) = A^{k}(c_{1}v_{1} + c_{2}v_{2}) = c_{1}A^{k}v_{1} + c_{2}A^{k}v_{2} = c_{1}\lambda_{1}^{k}v_{1} + c_{2}\lambda_{2}^{k}\\ \mathbf{x}(k) &= c_{1}0.8^{k} \begin{pmatrix} -1\\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + c_{2}0.5^{k} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

- Compute and order the eigenvalues such that |λ<sub>1</sub>| ≥ |λ<sub>2</sub>| ≥ ... |λ<sub>n</sub>|.
- Compute the corresponding basis of eigenvectors
   v = {v<sub>1</sub>,..., v<sub>n</sub>}.
- Compute the coordinates of  $\mathbf{x}(0)$  in the basis  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ :  $\mathbf{x}(0) = c_1v_1 + \cdots + c_nv_n$ .
- Then, as  $Av_i = \lambda_i v_i$ , the solutions  $\mathbf{x}(k)$  are:

$$\mathbf{x}(k) = A^k \mathbf{x}(0) = A^k (c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n) = c_1 A^k v_1 + \dots + c_n A^k v_n =$$

$$= c_1 \lambda_1^k v_1 + \cdots + c_n \lambda_n^k v_n.$$

- Compute and order the eigenvalues such that |λ<sub>1</sub>| ≥ |λ<sub>2</sub>| ≥ ... |λ<sub>n</sub>|.
- Compute the corresponding basis of eigenvectors
   v = {v<sub>1</sub>,..., v<sub>n</sub>}.
- Compute the coordinates of  $\mathbf{x}(0)$  in the basis  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ :  $\mathbf{x}(0) = c_1v_1 + \cdots + c_nv_n$ .
- Then, as  $Av_i = \lambda_i v_i$ , the solutions  $\mathbf{x}(k)$  are:

$$\mathbf{x}(k) = A^k \mathbf{x}(0) = A^k (c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n) = c_1 A^k v_1 + \dots + c_n A^k v_n =$$

$$= c_1 \lambda_1^k v_1 + \cdots + c_n \lambda_n^k v_n.$$

- Compute and order the eigenvalues such that |λ<sub>1</sub>| ≥ |λ<sub>2</sub>| ≥ ... |λ<sub>n</sub>|.
- Compute the corresponding basis of eigenvectors
   v = {v<sub>1</sub>,..., v<sub>n</sub>}.
- Compute the coordinates of x(0) in the basis v<sub>1</sub>,..., v<sub>n</sub>: x(0) = c<sub>1</sub>v<sub>1</sub> + ··· + c<sub>n</sub>v<sub>n</sub>.
- Then, as  $Av_i = \lambda_i v_i$ , the solutions  $\mathbf{x}(k)$  are:

$$\mathbf{x}(k) = A^k \mathbf{x}(0) = A^k (c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n) = c_1 A^k v_1 + \dots + c_n A^k v_n =$$

$$= c_1 \lambda_1^k v_1 + \cdots + c_n \lambda_n^k v_n.$$

- Compute and order the eigenvalues such that |λ<sub>1</sub>| ≥ |λ<sub>2</sub>| ≥ ... |λ<sub>n</sub>|.
- Compute the corresponding basis of eigenvectors
   v = {v<sub>1</sub>,..., v<sub>n</sub>}.
- Compute the coordinates of x(0) in the basis  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ :  $x(0) = c_1v_1 + \cdots + c_nv_n$ .
- Then, as  $Av_i = \lambda_i v_i$ , the solutions  $\mathbf{x}(k)$  are:

$$\mathbf{x}(k) = A^k \mathbf{x}(0) = A^k (c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n) = c_1 A^k v_1 + \dots + c_n A^k v_n =$$
$$= c_1 \lambda_1^k v_1 + \dots + c_n \lambda_n^k v_n.$$

As matrices If  $A = PDP^{-1}$ ,  $D = diag(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ ,  $P = A_{\mathbf{y} \to e}$  $P=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}v_1&\ldots&v_n\end{array}\right),$ then  $A^k = PD^kP^{-1}$ . Given  $\mathbf{x}(0)$ , if  $P^{-1}\mathbf{x}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_n \end{pmatrix}$  $\Rightarrow \mathbf{x}(k) = PD^{k}P^{-1}\mathbf{x}(0) = P\begin{pmatrix}\lambda_{1}^{k} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_{k}^{k}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}c_{1}\\ \vdots\\c_{n}\end{pmatrix} = P\begin{pmatrix}c_{1}\lambda_{1}^{k}\\ \vdots\\c_{n}\lambda_{k}^{k}\end{pmatrix},$  $\mathbf{x}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & \dots & v_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \lambda_1^k \\ \vdots \\ c_n \lambda_n^k \end{pmatrix} = c_1 \lambda_1^k \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \end{pmatrix} + \dots + c_n \lambda_n^k \begin{pmatrix} v_n \end{pmatrix}.$ 

14

We have 
$$|\lambda_1| \ge |\lambda_2| \ge \ldots |\lambda_n|$$
 and

$$\mathbf{x}(k) = c_1 \lambda_1^k v_1 + \cdots + c_n \lambda_n^k v_n,$$

note that:

• if 
$$\mathbf{x}(0) = v_i$$
, then  $\mathbf{x}(k) = \lambda_i^k v_i$ .

▶ when |λ<sub>1</sub>| > |λ<sub>2</sub>|, we'll see that λ<sub>1</sub> and v<sub>1</sub> determine the long-term behaviour

We have  $|\lambda_1| \ge |\lambda_2| \ge \ldots |\lambda_n|$  and

$$\mathbf{x}(k) = c_1 \lambda_1^k \mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + c_n \lambda_n^k \mathbf{v}_n,$$

note that:

• if 
$$\mathbf{x}(0) = v_i$$
, then  $\mathbf{x}(k) = \lambda_i^k v_i$ .

▶ when |λ<sub>1</sub>| > |λ<sub>2</sub>|, we'll see that λ<sub>1</sub> and v<sub>1</sub> determine the long-term behaviour

- Example: if the eigenvalues of a 4 × 4 matrix are -4, -3, 1, 2, then λ<sub>1</sub> = -4 is the dominant eigenvalue.
- Example: if the eigenvalues of a 4 × 4 matrix are -4, -3, 1, 4, then there is no dominant eigenvalue. Note:
- If A has complex eigenvalues, |λ| refers to the modulus (or absolute value) of the complex number: |a + bi| = √a<sup>2</sup> + b<sup>2</sup>
- ► As A is real, non-real eigenvalues appear in conjugate pairs (a + bi, a - bi) and have the same modulus
- ► Example: if the eigenvalues of a 3 × 3 matrix are 6, -1 + 2i, -1 - 2i, then 6 is the dominant eigenvalue.
- ► Example: if the eigenvalues of a 2 × 2 matrix are -1 + 2i, -1 - 2i, then there is no dominant eigenvalue.

- ► Example: if the eigenvalues of a 4 × 4 matrix are -4, -3, 1, 2, then λ<sub>1</sub> = -4 is the dominant eigenvalue.
- Example: if the eigenvalues of a 4 × 4 matrix are -4, -3, 1, 4, then there is no dominant eigenvalue. Note:
- If A has complex eigenvalues, |λ| refers to the modulus (or absolute value) of the complex number: |a + bi| = √a<sup>2</sup> + b<sup>2</sup>
- ► As A is real, non-real eigenvalues appear in conjugate pairs (a + bi, a - bi) and have the same modulus
- Example: if the eigenvalues of a  $3 \times 3$  matrix are 6, -1 + 2i, -1 2i, then 6 is the dominant eigenvalue.
- ► Example: if the eigenvalues of a 2 × 2 matrix are -1 + 2i, -1 - 2i, then there is no dominant eigenvalue.

- ► Example: if the eigenvalues of a 4 × 4 matrix are -4, -3, 1, 2, then λ<sub>1</sub> = -4 is the dominant eigenvalue.
- Example: if the eigenvalues of a 4 × 4 matrix are -4, -3, 1, 4, then there is no dominant eigenvalue. Note:
- If A has complex eigenvalues, |λ| refers to the modulus (or absolute value) of the complex number: |a + bi| = √a<sup>2</sup> + b<sup>2</sup>
- As A is real, non-real eigenvalues appear in conjugate pairs (a + bi, a - bi) and have the same modulus
- Example: if the eigenvalues of a  $3 \times 3$  matrix are 6, -1 + 2i, -1 2i, then 6 is the dominant eigenvalue.
- ► Example: if the eigenvalues of a 2 × 2 matrix are -1 + 2i, -1 - 2i, then there is no dominant eigenvalue.

- Example: if the eigenvalues of a 4 × 4 matrix are −4, −3, 1, 2, then λ<sub>1</sub> = −4 is the dominant eigenvalue.
- Example: if the eigenvalues of a 4 × 4 matrix are -4, -3, 1, 4, then there is no dominant eigenvalue. Note:
- If A has complex eigenvalues, |λ| refers to the modulus (or absolute value) of the complex number: |a + bi| = √a<sup>2</sup> + b<sup>2</sup>
- As A is real, non-real eigenvalues appear in conjugate pairs (a + bi, a - bi) and have the same modulus
- Example: if the eigenvalues of a  $3 \times 3$  matrix are 6, -1 + 2i, -1 2i, then 6 is the dominant eigenvalue.
- ► Example: if the eigenvalues of a 2 × 2 matrix are -1 + 2i, -1 - 2i, then there is no dominant eigenvalue.

- ► Example: if the eigenvalues of a 4 × 4 matrix are -4, -3, 1, 2, then λ<sub>1</sub> = -4 is the dominant eigenvalue.
- Example: if the eigenvalues of a 4 × 4 matrix are -4, -3, 1, 4, then there is no dominant eigenvalue. Note:
- If A has complex eigenvalues, |λ| refers to the modulus (or absolute value) of the complex number: |a + bi| = √a<sup>2</sup> + b<sup>2</sup>
- As A is real, non-real eigenvalues appear in conjugate pairs (a + bi, a - bi) and have the same modulus
- Example: if the eigenvalues of a 3 × 3 matrix are 6, -1 + 2i, -1 - 2i, then 6 is the dominant eigenvalue.
- Example: if the eigenvalues of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix are -1 + 2i, -1 2i, then there is no dominant eigenvalue.

If there is an eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  that satisfies  $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_i|$ , then  $\lambda_1$  is real and is called the **dominant** eigenvalue and the corresponding eigenvalue is called the **dominant** eigenvector.

- ► Example: if the eigenvalues of a 4 × 4 matrix are -4, -3, 1, 2, then λ<sub>1</sub> = -4 is the dominant eigenvalue.
- Example: if the eigenvalues of a 4 × 4 matrix are -4, -3, 1, 4, then there is no dominant eigenvalue. Note:
- If A has complex eigenvalues, |λ| refers to the modulus (or absolute value) of the complex number: |a + bi| = √a<sup>2</sup> + b<sup>2</sup>
- As A is real, non-real eigenvalues appear in conjugate pairs (a + bi, a - bi) and have the same modulus
- Example: if the eigenvalues of a  $3 \times 3$  matrix are 6, -1 + 2i, -1 2i, then 6 is the dominant eigenvalue.

Example: if the eigenvalues of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix are -1 + 2i, -1 - 2i, then there is no dominant eigenvalue.

- ► Example: if the eigenvalues of a 4 × 4 matrix are -4, -3, 1, 2, then λ<sub>1</sub> = -4 is the dominant eigenvalue.
- Example: if the eigenvalues of a 4 × 4 matrix are -4, -3, 1, 4, then there is no dominant eigenvalue. Note:
- If A has complex eigenvalues, |λ| refers to the modulus (or absolute value) of the complex number: |a + bi| = √a<sup>2</sup> + b<sup>2</sup>
- As A is real, non-real eigenvalues appear in conjugate pairs (a + bi, a - bi) and have the same modulus
- Example: if the eigenvalues of a  $3 \times 3$  matrix are 6, -1 + 2i, -1 2i, then 6 is the dominant eigenvalue.
- ► Example: if the eigenvalues of a 2 × 2 matrix are -1 + 2i, -1 - 2i, then there is no dominant eigenvalue.

If we have  $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \geq \ldots |\lambda_n|$  ( $\lambda_1$  dominant) and

$$\mathbf{x}(k) = c_1 \lambda_1^k v_1 + \cdots + c_n \lambda_n^k v_n,$$

then when k is large:

• 
$$\lambda_1^k$$
 grows faster than  $\lambda_i^k$  so, if  $c_1 \neq 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{x}(k) \sim c_1 \lambda_1^k v_1$$
 for  $k$  big, and

• If  $|\lambda_1| < 1$ ,  $\mathbf{x}(k) \to 0$  when  $k \to \infty$ .

• If  $\lambda_1 = 1$   $c_1 \neq 0$ , then  $\mathbf{x}(k) \rightarrow c_1 v_1$  when  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

If |λ<sub>1</sub>| > 1 and c<sub>1</sub> ≠ 0, then x(k) tends to a vector with infinite components in the direction of v<sub>1</sub>.

► the growth rate is given by 
$$\lambda_1$$
:  $\frac{\mathbf{x}_j(k+1)}{\mathbf{x}_j(k)} \sim \lambda_1$ , so  $\mathbf{x}(k+1) \sim \lambda_1 \mathbf{x}(k)$ 

If we have  $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \geq \ldots |\lambda_n|$  ( $\lambda_1$  dominant) and

$$\mathbf{x}(k) = c_1 \lambda_1^k v_1 + \cdots + c_n \lambda_n^k v_n,$$

then when k is large:

• 
$$\lambda_1^k$$
 grows faster than  $\lambda_i^k$  so, if  $c_1 \neq 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{x}(k) \sim c_1 \lambda_1^k v_1$$
 for  $k$  big, and

• If 
$$|\lambda_1| < 1$$
,  $\mathbf{x}(k) \to 0$  when  $k \to \infty$ .

• If  $\lambda_1 = 1$   $c_1 \neq 0$ , then  $\mathbf{x}(k) \rightarrow c_1 v_1$  when  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

If |λ<sub>1</sub>| > 1 and c<sub>1</sub> ≠ 0, then x(k) tends to a vector with infinite components in the direction of v<sub>1</sub>.

# ► the growth rate is given by $\lambda_1$ : $\frac{\mathbf{x}_j(k+1)}{\mathbf{x}_j(k)} \sim \lambda_1$ , so $\mathbf{x}(k+1) \sim \lambda_1 \mathbf{x}(k)$

If we have  $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \ge \ldots |\lambda_n|$  ( $\lambda_1$  dominant) and

$$\mathbf{x}(k) = c_1 \lambda_1^k v_1 + \cdots + c_n \lambda_n^k v_n,$$

then when k is large:

• 
$$\lambda_1^k$$
 grows faster than  $\lambda_i^k$  so, if  $c_1 \neq 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{x}(k) \sim c_1 \lambda_1^k v_1$$
 for  $k$  big, and

▶ If 
$$|\lambda_1| < 1$$
,  $\mathbf{x}(k) \to 0$  when  $k \to \infty$ .  
▶ If  $\lambda_1 = 1$   $c_1 \neq 0$ , then  $\mathbf{x}(k) \to c_1 v_1$  when  $k \to \infty$ .

If |λ<sub>1</sub>| > 1 and c<sub>1</sub> ≠ 0, then x(k) tends to a vector with infinite components in the direction of v<sub>1</sub>.

► the growth rate is given by 
$$\lambda_1$$
:  $\frac{\mathbf{x}_j(k+1)}{\mathbf{x}_j(k)} \sim \lambda_1$ , so  $\mathbf{x}(k+1) \sim \lambda_1 \mathbf{x}(k)$ 

If we have  $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \ge \ldots |\lambda_n|$  ( $\lambda_1$  dominant) and

$$\mathbf{x}(k) = c_1 \lambda_1^k v_1 + \cdots + c_n \lambda_n^k v_n,$$

then when k is large:

• 
$$\lambda_1^k$$
 grows faster than  $\lambda_i^k$  so, if  $c_1 \neq 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{x}(k) \sim c_1 \lambda_1^k v_1$$
 for  $k$  big, and

If 
$$|\lambda_1| < 1$$
,  $\mathbf{x}(k) \to 0$  when  $k \to \infty$ .

- If  $\lambda_1 = 1$   $c_1 \neq 0$ , then  $\mathbf{x}(k) \rightarrow c_1 v_1$  when  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .
- If |λ<sub>1</sub>| > 1 and c<sub>1</sub> ≠ 0, then x(k) tends to a vector with infinite components in the direction of v<sub>1</sub>.

# ► the growth rate is given by $\lambda_1$ : $\frac{x_j(k+1)}{x_j(k)} \sim \lambda_1$ , so $x(k+1) \sim \lambda_1 x(k)$

#### Long-term behavior

If we have  $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \geq \ldots |\lambda_n|$  ( $\lambda_1$  dominant) and

$$\mathbf{x}(k) = c_1 \lambda_1^k v_1 + \cdots + c_n \lambda_n^k v_n,$$

then when k is large:

• 
$$\lambda_1^k$$
 grows faster than  $\lambda_i^k$  so, if  $c_1 \neq 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{x}(k) \sim c_1 \lambda_1^k v_1$$
 for  $k$  big, and

▶ If 
$$|\lambda_1| < 1$$
,  $\mathbf{x}(k) \to 0$  when  $k \to \infty$ .  
▶ If  $\lambda_1 = 1$   $c_1 \neq 0$ , then  $\mathbf{x}(k) \to c_1 v_1$  when  $k \to \infty$ 

If |λ<sub>1</sub>| > 1 and c<sub>1</sub> ≠ 0, then x(k) tends to a vector with infinite components in the direction of v<sub>1</sub>.

• the growth rate is given by  $\lambda_1$ :  $\frac{\mathbf{x}_j(k+1)}{\mathbf{x}_j(k)} \sim \lambda_1$ , so  $\mathbf{x}(k+1) \sim \lambda_1 \mathbf{x}(k)$ 

Special things happen with initial conditions that have  $c_1 = 0$ .

#### Which matrices have a dominant eigenvalue?

Which matrices have a steady state (x such that Ax = x) different from 0? This is, which matrices have eigenvalue 1?

- Which matrices have a dominant eigenvalue?
- Which matrices have a steady state (x such that Ax = x) different from 0? This is, which matrices have eigenvalue 1?

# Outline

Definition and examples

Long-term behavior

Stochastic matrices

#### Definition

A (column) **stochastic matrix** is a non-negative  $n \times n$  matrix whose columns sum to 1.

A similar definition can be made for rows.

As columns sum to 1, if A is a stochastic matrix we have:

$$(11\dots 1)A = (11\dots 1)$$
 $A^t \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\ \vdots\\1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\ \vdots\\1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

Thus, 1 is an eigenvalue of  $A^t$  and  $(11...1)^t$  is a positive eigenvector for  $A^t$ .

## Properties of stochastic matrices

#### ▶ 1 is an eigenvalue of A

▶ If x sums to 1, then Ax still sums to 1.

Non-negative vectors that sum to 1 are called **probability vectors** or distributions.

## Properties of stochastic matrices

1 is an eigenvalue of A

If x sums to 1, then Ax still sums to 1.

Non-negative vectors that sum to 1 are called **probability vectors** or **distributions**.

### Theorem (Perron-Frobenius)

If A is a stochastic matrix, then 1 is an eigenvalue and  $|\lambda| \leq 1$  for any other eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Moreover, if A is positive,

- 1 is the dominant eigenvalue
- 1 has a positive eigenvector v (a steady state)
- no other eigenvalue has positive eigenvectors.
- If we take v to sum to 1, then v is called the stationary distribution and

$$\lim A^k = (v v \dots v)$$

and  $\lim A^k \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{v}$ 

for any probability vector x,

• and the distribution of x(k) tends to  $v: \frac{x(k)}{\sum_{i} x_i(k)} \sim v$ .

### Theorem (Perron-Frobenius)

If A is a stochastic matrix, then 1 is an eigenvalue and  $|\lambda| \leq 1$  for any other eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Moreover, if A is positive,

- 1 is the dominant eigenvalue
- 1 has a positive eigenvector v (a steady state)
- no other eigenvalue has positive eigenvectors.
- If we take v to sum to 1, then v is called the stationary distribution and

$$\lim A^k = (v v \dots v)$$

and  $\lim A^k \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{v}$ 

for any probability vector x,

• and the distribution of x(k) tends to  $v: \frac{x(k)}{\sum_{i} x_i(k)} \sim v$ .

### Theorem (Perron-Frobenius)

If A is a stochastic matrix, then 1 is an eigenvalue and  $|\lambda| \leq 1$  for any other eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Moreover, if A is positive,

- 1 is the dominant eigenvalue
- 1 has a positive eigenvector v (a steady state)
- no other eigenvalue has positive eigenvectors.
- If we take v to sum to 1, then v is called the stationary distribution and

$$\lim A^k = (v v \dots v)$$

and  $\lim A^k \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{v}$ 

for any probability vector x,

• and the distribution of x(k) tends to  $v: \frac{x(k)}{\sum_{i} x_i(k)} \sim v$ .

### Theorem (Perron-Frobenius)

If A is a stochastic matrix, then 1 is an eigenvalue and  $|\lambda| \leq 1$  for any other eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Moreover, if A is positive,

- ▶ 1 is the dominant eigenvalue
- 1 has a positive eigenvector v (a steady state)
- no other eigenvalue has positive eigenvectors.
- If we take v to sum to 1, then v is called the stationary distribution and

$$\lim A^k = (v \ v \dots v)$$

and  $\lim A^k \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{v}$ 

for any probability vector x,

▶ and the distribution of  $\mathbf{x}(k)$  tends to  $v: \frac{\mathbf{x}(k)}{\sum_i x_i(k)} \sim v$ .

### Theorem (Perron-Frobenius)

If A is a stochastic matrix, then 1 is an eigenvalue and  $|\lambda| \leq 1$  for any other eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Moreover, if A is positive,

- ▶ 1 is the dominant eigenvalue
- 1 has a positive eigenvector v (a steady state)
- no other eigenvalue has positive eigenvectors.
- If we take v to sum to 1, then v is called the stationary distribution and

$$\lim A^k = (v v \dots v)$$

and  $\lim A^k \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{v}$ 

for any probability vector x,

▶ and the distribution of  $\mathbf{x}(k)$  tends to  $v: \frac{\mathbf{x}(k)}{\sum_i x_i(k)} \sim v$ .

### Theorem (Perron-Frobenius)

If A is a stochastic matrix, then 1 is an eigenvalue and  $|\lambda| \leq 1$  for any other eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Moreover, if A is positive,

- ▶ 1 is the dominant eigenvalue
- 1 has a positive eigenvector v (a steady state)
- no other eigenvalue has positive eigenvectors.
- If we take v to sum to 1, then v is called the stationary distribution and

$$\lim A^k = (v v \dots v)$$

and  $\lim A^k \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{v}$ 

for any probability vector x,

▶ and the distribution of  $\mathbf{x}(k)$  tends to  $\mathbf{v}: \frac{\mathbf{x}(k)}{\sum_i x_i(k)} \sim \mathbf{v}$ .