# The Stefan Problem (a free boundary problem)



Here **n** is the exterior unit normal vector to the liquid phase and v is the (local) speed of the interphase  $\Gamma_t$  in the direction of **n**.

$$\rho^{\ell} c^{\ell} u_{t}^{\ell} = k^{\ell} \nabla^{2} u^{\ell} \text{ in } \Omega_{t}^{\ell},$$

$$\rho^{s} c^{s} u_{t}^{s} = k^{s} \nabla^{2} u^{s} \text{ in } \Omega_{t}^{s},$$

$$u^{\ell} = u^{*} \text{ on } \Gamma_{t},$$

$$u^{s} = u^{*} \text{ on } \Gamma_{t}$$

$$+ \text{ heat balance across } \Gamma_{t}$$



$$\Delta t A[\underbrace{-k^{\ell} u_{\mathbf{n}}^{\ell}}_{\text{heat leaving }\Omega^{\ell}} - \underbrace{(k^{s} u_{(-\mathbf{n})}^{s})}_{\text{heat entering }\Omega^{s}}] = L\rho^{s} A v \Delta t$$

 $(v = 0 \text{ means perfect thermal contact}, v > 0 \text{ means melting}, v < 0 (with <math>\rho^{\ell}$  instead of  $\rho^{s}$  in the r.h.s.) would mean freezing). This is better written as

$$[ku_{\mathbf{n}}]^{s}_{\ell} = \rho^{s} L v,$$

where L is the latent heat.

## Exemple 1: A 1-d problem (of melting)

Suppose  $\rho^s = \rho^{\ell} = c^s = c^{\ell} = k^s = k^{\ell} = 1$ . The liquid phase is 0 < x < s(t) and the solid phase s(t) < x < 1. We impose the boundary conditions u(0, t) = 1 and  $u_x(1, t) = 0$ , and the initial condition  $u(x, 0) \equiv \theta < 0$ .

$$\begin{cases} u_t = u_{xx} \text{ for } 0 < x < s(t) \text{ and } s(t) < x < 1\\ u(0,t) = 1 \text{ and } u_x(1,t) = 0\\ u(x,0) = \theta < 0\\ u(s(t),t) = 0\\ [u_x(s(t),t)]_{\ell}^s = Ls'(t)\\ s(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Not easy to solve...

▲冊 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

Suppose  $L \gg 1$  so s(t) grows slowly. We change to  $\tau = t/L$  to make things to happen faster. Then  $d/dt = (1/L)d/d\tau$  and so  $(1/L)u_{\tau} = u_{xx}$  becomes  $0 = u_{xx}$  (quasi-static!) and  $[u_x(s(t), t)]_{\ell}^s = Ls'(t)$  becomes  $[u_x(s(\tau), \tau)]_{\ell}^s = s'(\tau)$ .

The solution is (disregarding the initial condition for u!)  $u(x, \tau) = 1 - x/s(\tau)$  for  $0 < x < s(\tau)$  and  $u(x, \tau) = 0$  for  $s(\tau) < x < 1$ . Then we get the ode  $s'(\tau) = 1/s(\tau)$  and with the initial condition s(0) = 0 we conclude  $s(\tau) = \sqrt{2\tau}$  and  $s(t) = \sqrt{2t/L}$ . So, for large *L* the solid gets completely melted in a total time of L/2.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Let's study the other limiting case,  $L \to 0$ . We have to solve  $u_t = u_{xx}$  for 0 < x < 1 with u(0, t) = 1,  $u_x(1, t) = 0$  and  $u(x, 0) = \theta < 0$ , and then the interface x = s(t) will be the isotherm u(x, t) = 0. The solution is

$$u = 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{4(1-\theta)}{(2n+1)\pi} e^{-\frac{(2n+1)^2\pi^2}{4}t} \sin(\frac{(2n+1)\pi}{2}x)$$
$$\simeq 1 - \frac{4(1-\theta)}{\pi} e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{4}t} \sin(\frac{\pi}{2}x).$$

Now we write u(1, T) = 0 to calculate the time T needed to melt the whole bar of ice, and one gets

$$e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{4}T} = \frac{\pi}{4(1-\theta)}$$

and

$$T = -\frac{4}{\pi^2} \log(\frac{\pi}{4(1-\theta)}).$$

## Exemple 2: The one-phase Stefan problem. The Neumann solution.



$$\begin{cases} u_t = u_{xx} \text{ for } 0 < x < s(t) \text{ and } u(x,t) = 0 \text{ for } s(t) < x < \infty \\ u(x,0) = 0 \text{ for } 0 < x < \infty \\ u(0,t) = 1 \\ -u_x(s(t)(-),t) = Ls'(t) \end{cases}$$



**Problem 5.1:** (Neumann solution) Look for the solution of the form  $u(x, t) = F(x/\sqrt{t})$ , for 0 < x < s(t), obtain  $s(t) = A\sqrt{t}$ ,  $u(x, t) = 1 - \frac{\operatorname{erf}(\frac{x}{2\sqrt{t}})}{\operatorname{erf}(A/2)}$  and deduce the relation A = A(L).

JS-M

# Black-Scholes

• Suppose we are a bank. At time  $t = t_0$  a costumer can come saying he wants to warranty the possibility (the *option*) of buying at t = T $(T \ge t_0)$  a particular good or *asset* (the *underlying asset*, typically a good, a stock, a share) at a price *E* (the *strike* of the option). The costumer will not get obliged to buy the asset at the price *E* when t = T, he will merely have the *option* to do it (these kind of options are called *European options*).

• We want to tell this costumer the price of this service. We want to look at the price at this moment of the asset, say  $S_{t_0}$ , and be able to tell the client that in order to have this option he has to pay us (at time  $t_0$ ) a quantity  $C(S_{t_0}, t_0)$ .

The mathematical task is now to find the function C(S, t) for 0 < Sand  $t \le T$ . We consider as given and fixed the other parameters of the system, as the strike *E* and the *execution time T* as well as other general properties of the market. The unknown function C(S, t) will turn out to satisfy a partial differential equation like the heat equation but backwards in time, and the final condition instead of the initial condition will be given.

ヘロト ヘワト ヘビト ヘビト

**Example**: our costumer wants to buy 20.000 Brendt oil barrels next Dec. 31 at a price of 62\$ each.

- $t_0 =$ today, in days. (LINK)
- T = 365, in days
- E = 62, in dollars.
- $S = S_{t_0}$  = today price, in dollars (LINK)

We want to tell him the price of this option, say 1.5\$ for each barrel.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

The first thing that is easy to see is that the final condition will be

 $C(S,T) = \max(0,S-E).$ 



It is clear that if  $S \le E$  we would not ask the costumer to pay anything for such and disadvantageous (and useless) thing for him. It is also clear that if  $S \ge E$  we would ask him to pay exactly the difference S - E.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

### The risk-free interest rate

• We suppose that in the market there is a financial product (German or USA government debt obligations, or something similar) without risk and with a (continuous) interest  $\rho$  constant.

## $dR = R\rho dt$

• All the financial operations we, as a bank, are willing to do should give exactly this interest.

If we wanted to get a higher return, for sure another bank would appear that would offer our costumers better conditions (this hypothesis is called *no-arbitrage*). Equally, if we offer lower prices that will be a really bad deal for us.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

### Evolution of the asset price

The price  $S_t$  of the asset is not deterministic. We accept that it is a random process  $S_t$  whose variations are given by

$$\frac{dS_t}{S_t} = \mu dt + \sigma dW_t$$

where  $\mu$  is the instantaneous average rate (deterministic) or *drift rate*,  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation of the instantaneous rate (*volatility*) and  $W_t$  is a standard Wiener process (or *Brownian motion*):

$$W_t - W_s \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t - s)$$

for t > s. Recall that  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \Rightarrow \sqrt{t - s}X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t - s)$ . Recall also  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$ :  $\mu_0$  average,  $\sigma_0^2$  variance,  $\sigma_0$  standard deviation (root mean square) and pdf  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$ . Recall also that if a particle jumps by  $\pm h$  each  $\Delta t$  and we define  $k = h^2/(2\Delta t)$  then its position X(t) gives  $dX = \sqrt{2k}dW_t$ .



Plots with  $\mu = .5$ . Cases  $\sigma = 0$  (deterministic) and  $\sigma = .07$ .

(MATLAB) >> Dt = .01; y(1) = .3; for k = 1 : 200; y(k + 1) ... = y(k) + .5 \* Dt \* y(k) + sqrt(Dt) \* .07\*randn(1) \* y(k); end

### Ito's Lemma (Ito-Doeblin)

For  $C = C(S_t, t)$  we have (Taylor's formula):

$$dC = \partial_S C \, dS_t + \partial_t C \, dt + \frac{1}{2} \partial_S^2 C \, (dS_t)^2 + \partial_S \partial_t C \, dS_t dt + \frac{1}{2} \partial_t^2 C \, (dt)^2 + \cdots,$$

and we already knew that

$$dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma S_t X_t \sqrt{dt}$$

where  $X_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ . So, in comparison with dt one has  $dS_t dt = (dt)^2 = 0$  and so  $(dS_t)^2 = \sigma^2 S_t^2 X_t^2 dt$ . But this last expression can also be simplified in comparison with  $dS_t$ : the variance of  $[\sigma^2 S_t^2 X_t^2 dt]$  is of the order of  $(dt)^2$  and that of  $dS_t$  is dt (larger!). This means that the first can be considered as deterministic in comparison with the second, and can be substituted by its mean value  $\sigma^2 S_t^2 dt$ . And we finally get

JS-M

$$dC = \underbrace{\partial_{S}C \, dS_{t}}_{\text{random}} + \underbrace{\partial_{t}C \, dt + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{S}^{2}C \, S_{t}^{2}\sigma^{2}dt}_{\text{deterministic}}$$

## Portfolio management, 1 (hedging, cobertura)

We (the bank) have a portfolio with *n* options and *h* assets. Its value is R = nC + hS, and the variation of its value

$$dR = n \, dC + h \, dS + C \, dn + S \, dh.$$

We follow the self-financed strategy: if we sell assets then we buy options by the same amount, and viceversa, so: C dn + S dh = 0. This relation allows us to obtain the proportion between the changes in n (dn) and the changes in h (dh) but still does not allow us to decide dn and dh without any ambiguity.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

### Portfolio management, 2 (hedging, cobertura)

So, for the time being,

$$dR = n \, dC + h \, dS = n(\partial_S C \, dS + \partial_t C \, dt + \frac{1}{2} \partial_S^2 C \, S^2 \sigma^2 dt) + h \, dS =$$
$$= \underbrace{(n\partial_S C + h) \, dS}_{\text{random}} + \underbrace{n(\partial_t C \, dt + \frac{1}{2} \partial_S^2 C \, S^2 \sigma^2 dt)}_{\text{deterministic}}$$

and now we choose at each time *n* and *h* in such a way that  $n\partial_S C + h = 0$ , and the random term disappears,  $h = -n\partial_S C$  and we get

$$dR = n(\partial_t C dt + \frac{1}{2}\partial_S^2 C S^2 \sigma^2 dt).$$

### **Black-Scholes equation**

We said that the evolution of the value of our portfolio cannot be different from the evolution of the risk-free asset. So,

$$dR = n(\partial_t C dt + \frac{1}{2}\partial_S^2 C S^2 \sigma^2 dt) = R\rho dt = n(C - \partial_S C S)\rho dt,$$

and we get the (backwards) parabolic equation

$$-C_t = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2 C_{SS} + \rho S C_S - \rho C$$

for S > 0 i t < T, and the final condition  $C(S, T) = \max(0, S - E)$ . The changes  $x = \log S - Vt$ , where  $V = \rho - \sigma^2/2$ ,  $\tau = T - t$  and  $u(x, \tau) = e^{-\rho(T-\tau)}C(S, t)$  converts the equation into the (forward) diffusion equation

$$u_{\tau}=\frac{\sigma^2}{2}u_{xx}$$

with the initial condition  $u(x, 0) = e^{-\rho T} \max(0, e^{x+Vt} - E)$ .

JS-M

Black-Scholes formula

Problem 5.2: Check that

$$C(S,t) = N(d_1(S,t)) S - N(d_2(S,t)) Ee^{-\rho(T-t)}$$

where

$$d_{1} = \frac{\log(S/E) + (\rho + \sigma^{2}/2)(T - t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T - t}}$$
$$d_{2} = d_{1} - \sigma\sqrt{T - t}$$
$$N(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-z^{2}/2} dz.$$

Make a broad estimate of the parameters for our first example (Brendt barrels), and plot some reasonable solution curves.

(個) (日) (日) (日)



Plots of solutions for different times.

(after http://www.math.unl.edu/ sdunbar1/MathematicalFinance/Lessons/BlackScholes/Solution/solution.pdf)