

## SOME COMPOSITION DETERMINANTS

J. M. BRUNAT<sup>1\*</sup>, C. KRATTENTHALER<sup>2†‡</sup> AND A. MONTES<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada II,  
 Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya,  
 Jordi Girona 1–3, 08034 Barcelona, Spain  
 WWW: <http://www-ma2.upc.edu/~montes>

<sup>2</sup>Institut Camille Jordan, Université Claude Bernard Lyon-I,  
 21, avenue Claude Bernard, F-69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France.  
 WWW: <http://igd.univ-lyon1.fr/~kratt>

ABSTRACT. We compute two parametric determinants in which rows and columns are indexed by compositions, where in one determinant the entries are products of binomial coefficients, while in the other the entries are products of powers. These results generalize previous determinant evaluations due to the first and third author [*SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* **23** (2001), 459–471] and [“A polynomial generalization of the power-compositions determinant,” *Linear Multilinear Algebra* (to appear)], and they prove two conjectures of the second author [“Advanced determinant calculus: a complement,” preliminary version].

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A composition of a non-negative integer  $n$  is a vector  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$  of non-negative integers such that  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_k = n$ , for some  $k$ . For a fixed  $k$ , let  $\mathcal{C}(n, k)$  denote the corresponding set of compositions of  $n$ . While working on a problem in global optimisation, two of the authors [1] discovered the following surprising determinant evaluation. It allowed them to show how to explicitly express a multivariable polynomial as a *difference of convex functions*. In the statement, we use standard multi-index notation: if  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$  and  $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k)$  are two compositions, we let

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}} := \alpha_1^{\beta_1} \alpha_2^{\beta_2} \cdots \alpha_k^{\beta_k},$$

where  $0^0$  is interpreted as 1.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 05A19; Secondary 05A10 11C20 15A15.

*Key words and phrases*. Binomial determinants, power determinants, compositions, Chu–Vandermonde summation.

\*Work partially supported by the Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología under projects BFM2003-00368 and MTM2004-01728 and by the Generalitat de Catalunya under project 2001 SGR 00224.

†Research partially supported by EC’s IHRP Programme, grant HPRN-CT-2001-00272, “Algebraic Combinatorics in Europe.”

‡Current address: Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Wien, Nordbergstraße 15, A-1090 Vienna, Austria.

**Theorem 1.** *For any positive integers  $n$  and  $k$ , we have*

$$\det_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)} (\alpha^\beta) = n^{\binom{n+k-1}{k} + k - 1} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} i^{\binom{n-i+1}{k-2} \binom{n+k-i-1}{k-2}}. \quad (1.1)$$

In the preliminary version [5] of [6], the second author observed empirically that there seemed to be a polynomial generalisation of this theorem.

**Conjecture 2** ([5, Conjecture 57]). *For any positive integers  $n$  and  $k$ , we have*

$$\det_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)} ((x + \alpha)^\beta) = (kx + n)^{\binom{n+k-1}{k}} n^{k-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} i^{\binom{n-i+1}{k-2} \binom{n+k-i-1}{k-2}}, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $x$  is a variable, and  $x + \alpha$  is short for  $(x + \alpha_1, x + \alpha_2, \dots, x + \alpha_k)$ .

Morover, he also worked out a binomial version of this conjecture. Extending our multi-index notation, let

$$\binom{\alpha}{\beta} := \binom{\alpha_1}{\beta_1} \binom{\alpha_2}{\beta_2} \cdots \binom{\alpha_k}{\beta_k}.$$

**Conjecture 3** ([5, Conjecture 58]). *For any positive integers  $n$  and  $k$ , we have*

$$\det_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)} \left( \binom{x + \alpha + \beta}{\beta} \right) = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (kx + n + k + i)^{\binom{k+i-1}{k-1}}}{\prod_{i=1}^n i^{\binom{n+k-i-1}{k-1}}}, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $x$  is a variable, and  $x + \alpha + \beta$  is short for  $(x + \alpha_1 + \beta_1, x + \alpha_2 + \beta_2, \dots, x + \alpha_k + \beta_k)$ .

In the recent paper [2], the first and third author succeeded to prove Conjecture 2. In fact, they established the following multivariable generalisation.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  be a vector of indeterminates. Then, for any positive integers  $n$  and  $k$ , we have*

$$\det_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)} ((\mathbf{x} + \alpha)^\beta) = (|\mathbf{x}| + n)^{\binom{n+k-1}{k}} \prod_{i=1}^n i^{\binom{k-1}{k-1} \binom{n+k-i-1}{k-1}}, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\mathbf{x} + \alpha$  is short for  $(x_1 + \alpha_1, x_2 + \alpha_2, \dots, x_k + \alpha_k)$ , and where  $|\mathbf{x}| = x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_k$ .

The purpose of this paper is to, in some sense, explain the miraculous existence of all these formulae. We do this by introducing a further variable,  $\lambda$ , in the binomial determinant in (1.3), and by proving an evaluation theorem for the resulting determinant. All the afore-mentioned determinant evaluations are then special cases, respectively limit cases, of this new theorem. To be precise, the main result of this paper is the following determinant evaluation.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  be a vector of indeterminates, and let  $\lambda$  be an indeterminate. Then, for any positive integers  $n$  and  $k$ , we have*

$$\det_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)} \left( \binom{\mathbf{x} + \lambda \alpha}{\beta} \right) = \lambda^{\binom{k-1}{k} \binom{n+k-1}{k-1}} \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{|\mathbf{x}| + (\lambda - 1)n + i}{i} \right)^{\binom{n+k-i-1}{k-1}}, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $\mathbf{x} + \lambda\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  is short for  $(x_1 + \lambda\alpha_1, x_2 + \lambda\alpha_2, \dots, x_k + \lambda\alpha_k)$ , and where  $|\mathbf{x}| = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k$ , as before.

Using the elementary property  $\binom{X}{m} = (-1)^m \binom{-X+m-1}{m}$  of binomial coefficients, we show that an equivalent way to write the same result is as follows.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  be a vector of indeterminates, and let  $\lambda$  be an indeterminate. Then, with notation as in Theorem 5, for any positive integers  $n$  and  $k$  we have*

$$\det_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)} \left( \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \lambda\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \boldsymbol{\beta} \\ \boldsymbol{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \right) = \lambda^{(k-1)\binom{n+k-1}{k}} \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{|\mathbf{x}| + (\lambda + 1)n + k - i}{i} \right)^{\binom{n+k-i-1}{k-1}}. \quad (1.6)$$

Clearly, Conjecture 3 is the special case of the above theorem where  $\lambda = 1$  and  $x_i = x$  for all  $i$ . Moreover, Theorem 4 follows also from Theorem 5. To see this, we shall show that, by extracting the highest homogeneous component in (1.5) (this could also be realised by an appropriate limit), we obtain the following Corollary.

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  be a vector of indeterminates, and let  $\lambda$  be an indeterminate. Then, with notation as in Theorem 5, for any positive integers  $n$  and  $k$  we have*

$$\det_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)} ((\mathbf{x} + \lambda\boldsymbol{\alpha})^\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \lambda^{(k-1)\binom{n+k-1}{k}} (|\mathbf{x}| + \lambda n)^{\binom{n+k-1}{k}} \prod_{i=1}^n i^{(k-1)\binom{n+k-i-1}{k-1}}. \quad (1.7)$$

Clearly, Theorem 4 is the special case  $\lambda = 1$  of this corollary.

In the next section, we give proofs of Theorems 5 and 6, and of Corollary 7. We provide in fact two proofs of our main theorem, Theorem 5. Our first proof is based on the ‘‘identification of factors’’ technique (see [4, Sec. 2.4]). In contrast, our second proof extends the inductive procedure from [1, 2] that was used in the original proofs of the special cases in Theorems 1 and 4. As it turns out, the crucial identity in both of our proofs is the multivariate version of the Chu–Vandermonde summation formula (see Lemma 8). Finally, in the last section, we derive analogues of Theorems 5 and 6, and of Corollary 7 for the subdeterminants in which we restrict the rows and columns to compositions of  $n$  with exactly  $k$  positive summands.

## 2. THE PROOFS

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  be a vector of indeterminates, and let  $n$  and  $k$  be non-negative integers. Then*

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{\delta} \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)} \binom{\mathbf{x}}{\boldsymbol{\delta}} = \sum_{\delta_1 + \dots + \delta_k = n} \binom{\mathbf{x}}{\boldsymbol{\delta}} = \binom{|\mathbf{x}|}{n}.$$

*Proof.* The Chu–Vandermonde summation formula (see e.g. [3, Sec. 5.1, (5.27)]) reads

$$\sum_{r=0}^s \binom{M}{r} \binom{N}{s-r} = \binom{M+N}{s}.$$

On the basis of this formula, the assertion of the lemma is easily proved by induction on  $k$ .  $\square$

*First proof of Theorem 5.* We prove the theorem by the identification of factors method described in [4, Sec. 2.4]. For convenience, let us write  $M(n, k)$  for the matrix of which we want to compute the determinant, that is,

$$M(n, k) = \left( \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \\ \boldsymbol{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \right)_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)}.$$

*Step 1.*  $(|\mathbf{x}| + (\lambda - 1)n + i) \binom{n+k-i-1}{k-1}$  divides  $\det M(n, k)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . To prove this assertion, we find  $\binom{n+k-i-1}{k-1}$  linearly independent vectors in the kernel of

$$M(n, k) \Big|_{|\mathbf{x}| = -(\lambda-1)n-i}.$$

This kernel lives in the free vector space generated by the compositions in  $\mathcal{C}(n, k)$ . Given  $\boldsymbol{\delta} \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)$ , let us denote the corresponding element (“unit vector”) in this vector space by  $e_{\boldsymbol{\delta}}$ . Let  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  be a composition of  $n - i$  into  $k$  non-negative summands. Then we claim that the vector

$$v_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} := \sum_{\boldsymbol{\delta} \in \mathcal{C}(i, k)} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\delta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \\ \boldsymbol{\delta} \end{pmatrix} e_{\boldsymbol{\delta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \quad (2.1)$$

is in the kernel of  $M(n, k) \Big|_{|\mathbf{x}| = -(\lambda-1)n-i}$ . To see this, we calculate, using Lemma 8,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{coefficient of } e_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \text{ in } M(n, k) \cdot v_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{\delta} \in \mathcal{C}(i, k)} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \\ \boldsymbol{\delta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\delta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \\ \boldsymbol{\delta} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} \sum_{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|=i} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \\ \boldsymbol{\delta} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} \binom{|\mathbf{x}| + \lambda|\boldsymbol{\alpha}| - |\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}|}{i} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} \binom{|\mathbf{x}| + \lambda n - (n - i)}{i} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix} \binom{|\mathbf{x}| + (\lambda - 1)n + i}{i}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $i \geq 1$ , this implies

$$M(n, k) \Big|_{|\mathbf{x}| = -(\lambda-1)n-i} \cdot v_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = 0.$$

Next we show that the vectors  $v_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{C}(n - i, k)$ , are linearly independent. Suppose that

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{C}(n-i, k)} \mu_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} v_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

for some scalars  $\mu_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$ . In order to see that all  $\mu_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$ 's must vanish, we consider the “support” of (2.2),

$$S := \{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{C}(n - i, k) : \mu_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \neq 0\}. \quad (2.3)$$

Let  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$  be an element in the support  $S$  such that

$$\|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\infty} := \max\{\eta_j : 1 \leq j \leq k\}$$

is maximal among all elements of  $S$ . Then, in (2.2), we consider all  $v_\varepsilon$  with a non-zero coefficient of

$$e_{\boldsymbol{\eta}+(0,\dots,0,i,0,\dots,0)}, \quad (2.4)$$

the  $i$  sitting in the  $r$ -th place, where  $r$  is the place of a maximum among all components of  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ , that is,

$$\eta_r = \|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_\infty.$$

Going back to the definition (2.1) of  $v_\varepsilon$ , a non-zero coefficient of (2.4) in  $v_\varepsilon$  can only occur if there is a  $\boldsymbol{\delta}$  such that

$$\boldsymbol{\delta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \boldsymbol{\eta} + (0, \dots, 0, i, 0, \dots, 0).$$

This, in its turn, implies that  $\delta_j + \varepsilon_j = \eta_j$  for  $j \neq r$ . In particular,  $\varepsilon_r \leq \eta_j$  for  $j \neq r$ . But then we have

$$\varepsilon_r = (n - i) - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq r}}^k \varepsilon_j = \eta_r + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq r}}^k (\eta_j - \varepsilon_j) \geq \eta_r.$$

Thus, if  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \neq \boldsymbol{\eta}$ , then  $\varepsilon_r > \eta_r$ , that is,

$$\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\|_\infty > \|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_\infty.$$

But then  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$  was not maximal in  $S$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ , a contradiction. Hence, the only  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  in  $S$  such that  $v_\varepsilon$  contains the vector (2.4) with a non-zero coefficient is  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$  itself. But since the vector (2.4) is one of the basis vectors of our vector space, it must be annihilated in (2.2). This is only possible if  $\mu_{\boldsymbol{\eta}} = 0$ , a contradiction to our assumption that  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$  was an element of the support  $S$ . Thus,  $S$  was in fact empty, proving the independence of the vectors  $v_\varepsilon$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{C}(n - i, k)$ .

*Step 2. Comparison of degrees in the variable  $x_1$ .* By inspection, the degree in  $x_1$  of the determinant on the left-hand side of (1.5) is at most

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)} \beta_1 &= \sum_{i=0}^n i \cdot |\mathcal{C}(n - i, k - 1)| \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n i \binom{n - i + k - 2}{k - 2} \\ &= - \sum_{i=0}^n (n - i) \binom{n - i + k - 2}{k - 2} + \sum_{i=0}^n n \binom{n - i + k - 2}{k - 2} \\ &= -(k - 1) \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n - i + k - 2}{k - 1} + n \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n - i + k - 2}{k - 2} \\ &= -(k - 1) \binom{n + k - 1}{k} + n \binom{n + k - 1}{k - 1} \\ &= \binom{n + k - 1}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used special instances of the Chu–Vandermonde summation to evaluate the sums over  $i$ . On the other hand, the degree in  $x_1$  of the right-hand side of (1.5) is equal to

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n+k-i-1}{k-1} = \binom{n+k-1}{k}. \quad (2.5)$$

Again, we used a special instance of the Chu–Vandermonde summation to evaluate the sum over  $i$ .

Since the degree bound on the determinant is the same as the degree of the right-hand side of (1.5), the determinant must be equal to the right-hand side up to a possible multiplicative constant which is independent of  $x_1$ . Moreover, since, by symmetry, the same is also true for  $x_i$ ,  $i = 2, 3, \dots, k$ , we conclude that

$$\det_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)} \left( \binom{\mathbf{x} + \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha}}{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \right) = C(n, k, \lambda) \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{|\mathbf{x}| + (\lambda - 1)n + i}{i} \right)^{\binom{n+k-i-1}{k-1}}, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $C(n, k, \lambda)$  is independent of  $\mathbf{x}$ .

*Step 3. Computation of the multiplicative constant.* In order to compute the multiplicative constant  $C(n, k, \lambda)$  in (2.6), we proceed by induction on  $n + k$ .

To start the induction, we consider the case where  $n$  is arbitrary and  $k = 1$ , in which case a straight-forward computation from (2.6) yields that  $C(n, 1, \lambda) = 1$ , and the case where  $n = 1$  and  $k$  is arbitrary, in which case it is not difficult to see from (2.6) that  $C(1, k, \lambda) = \lambda^{k-1}$ .

For carrying out the induction step, we put  $x_1 = 0$  in (2.6). For this specialization, the matrix  $M(n, k)$  decomposes in a block form if we put the rows and columns corresponding to compositions in  $\mathcal{C}(n, k)$  with first summand equal to zero first. More precisely, for  $x_1 = 0$  we have

$$M(n, k) = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ * & B \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $A = M(n, k-1)|_{x_1=0}$ , and

$$B = \left( \binom{\lambda \alpha_1}{\beta_1} \prod_{i=2}^k \binom{x_i + \lambda \alpha_i}{\beta_i} \right)_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}'(n, k)},$$

where  $\mathcal{C}'(n, k)$  denotes the set of compositions of  $n$  into  $k$  non-negative summands out of which the first one is positive. If we now factor  $\lambda \alpha_1$  out of the  $\alpha$ -th row of  $B$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}'(n, k)$ , and if we factor  $\beta_1$  out of the  $\beta$ -th column of  $B$  for all  $\beta \in \mathcal{C}'(n, k)$ , then the remaining matrix is  $M(n-1, k)|_{x_1=\lambda-1}$ . Thus,

$$\det M(n, k)|_{x_1=0} = \lambda^{|\mathcal{C}'(n, k)|} \det M(n, k-1)|_{x_1=0} \cdot \det M(n-1, k)|_{x_1=\lambda-1}. \quad (2.7)$$

However, the cardinality of  $\mathcal{C}'(n, k)$  is the same as the cardinality of  $\mathcal{C}(n-1, k)$ , namely  $\binom{n+k-2}{k-1}$ . If we then substitute (2.6) in (2.7) and cancel factors which are common on both sides, then we arrive at

$$C(n, k, \lambda) = \lambda^{\binom{n+k-2}{k-1}} C(n, k-1, \lambda) C(n-1, k, \lambda).$$

The unique solution to this recursion with initial conditions  $C(n, 1, \lambda) = 1$  and  $C(1, k, \lambda) = \lambda^{k-1}$  is

$$C(n, k, \lambda) = \lambda^{(k-1)\binom{n+k-1}{k}},$$

completing the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

*Second proof of Theorem 5.* Since this proof is closely modelled after those in [1, 2], we shall be somewhat brief here.

Let  $M(n, k, \mathbf{x}, \lambda)$  be the matrix with rows and columns labelled by the  $k$ -compositions of  $n$  in reverse lexicographic order (that is, we first compare the *last* summands of two compositions and we put the composition with smaller last summand first, if the last summands should be equal, then we compare the next-to-last summands, etc.) and with the  $(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$ -entry equal to  $\binom{\mathbf{x} + \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha}}{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ . Moreover, we write  $\Delta(n, k, \mathbf{x}, \lambda)$  for the determinant of this matrix, that is,

$$\Delta(n, k, \mathbf{x}, \lambda) = \det \left( \binom{\mathbf{x} + \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha}}{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \right)_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)}.$$

We prove (1.5) by induction on  $k$ . For  $k = 1$  the result is trivial. Consider now  $k = 2$ . Put  $\mathbf{x} = (y, z)$  and consider the principal minor of order  $r + 1$  of  $\Delta(n, 2, (y, z), \lambda)$ ,

$$D_r(n, y, z, \lambda) = \det_{0 \leq i, j \leq r} \left( \binom{y + \lambda(n - i)}{n - j} \binom{z + \lambda i}{j} \right).$$

Taking out factors which are common to rows, respectively to columns, it is easy to see that this determinant can be evaluated using Lemma 3 from [4] with  $n$  replaced by  $r + 1$ ,  $X_i$  replaced by  $\lambda i$ ,  $A_j$  replaced by  $y + \lambda(n + 1) - n + 1 - j$ , and  $B_j$  replaced by  $z - \lambda - j + 2$ . After simplification, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_r(n, y, z, \lambda) &= \lambda^{\binom{r+1}{2}} \left( \prod_{i=0}^r \frac{(n-r)!}{(n-i)!} \binom{y + \lambda(n-i)}{n-r} \right) \left( \prod_{i=1}^r (y + z + (\lambda-1)n + i)^{r-i+1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Next, let  $k \geq 2$  (note that the following discussion also includes the case  $k = 2$ ). Setting  $\bar{\mathbf{u}} = (u_1, \dots, u_{k-1})$ , an entry  $\binom{\mathbf{x} + \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha}}{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  in  $M(n, k, \mathbf{x}, \lambda)$  can be written in the form  $\binom{\bar{\mathbf{x}} + \lambda \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}{\bar{\boldsymbol{\beta}}} \binom{x_k + \lambda \alpha_k}{\beta_k}$ . For  $0 \leq i, j \leq n$ , let  $S_{ij}$  be the matrix with entries  $\binom{\bar{\mathbf{x}} + \lambda \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}{\bar{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}$  where  $\bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$  and  $\bar{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  satisfy  $\alpha_k = i$  and  $\beta_k = j$ . Thus, the submatrix of  $M(n, k, \mathbf{x}, \lambda)$  formed by the entries labelled  $(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$  with  $\alpha_k = i$  and  $\beta_k = j$  can be written as  $\left( S_{ij} \binom{x_k + \lambda i}{j} \right)$ . Note that

$$S_{rr} = M(n-r, k-1, \bar{\mathbf{x}}, \lambda).$$

Define  $f_0(i, j) = \binom{x_k + \lambda i}{j}$ . Then  $M(n, k, \mathbf{x}, \lambda)$  admits the block decomposition

$$M(n, k, \mathbf{x}, \lambda) = (S_{ij} f_0(i, j))_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}.$$

The idea is to put  $M(n, k, \mathbf{x}, \lambda)$  in block triangular form in such a way that at each step only (multiples of) earlier columns are added to later columns in the reverse lexicographic order.

By induction, assume that  $\Delta(n, k, \mathbf{x}, \lambda) = \det(S_{ij} f_r(i, j))$  where  $S_{ij} = \left( \binom{\bar{\mathbf{x}} + \lambda \bar{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}{\bar{\boldsymbol{\beta}}} \right)$ , with  $\alpha_k = i$ ,  $\beta_k = j$ , and  $f_r(i, j) = 0$  for  $i < r$  and  $j > i$ .

Fix a column  $\beta$  with  $\beta_k = j > r$ . For each  $\gamma \in C(n, k)$  with  $\gamma_k = r$  and  $\gamma_\ell \geq \beta_\ell$  for  $1 \leq \ell \leq k-1$ , add to the column  $\beta$  the column  $\gamma$  multiplied by

$$-\frac{\binom{\tilde{\gamma}}{\beta}}{\binom{|\bar{\mathbf{x}}| + \lambda(n-r) - n + j}{j-r}} \frac{f_r(r, j)}{f_r(r, r)}.$$

Then, using Lemma 8, it can be seen that, for  $i \geq r$  and  $j > r$ , each block  $S_{i,j} f_r(i, j)$  is modified to  $S_{i,j} f_{r+1}(i, j)$  where

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(i, j) &= \binom{x_k + \lambda i}{j}, \\ f_{r+1}(i, j) &= f_r(i, j) - \frac{\binom{|\bar{\mathbf{x}}| + \lambda(n-i) - (n-j)}{j-r}}{\binom{|\bar{\mathbf{x}}| + \lambda(n-r) - (n-j)}{j-r}} \cdot \frac{f_r(r, j)}{f_r(r, r)} f_r(i, r), \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

and  $f_{r+1}(i, j) = f_r(i, j)$  for  $j \leq r$  and  $f_{r+1}(r, j) = 0$  for  $j > r$ . After  $n$  iterations, we obtain a block-triangular matrix where the diagonal blocks are  $S_{rr} f_r(r, r)$ . Therefore

$$\Delta(n, k, \mathbf{x}, \lambda) = \prod_{r=0}^n \left( \Delta(n-r, k-1, \mathbf{x}, \lambda) f_r(r, r) \binom{n-r+k-2}{k-2} \right). \quad (2.10)$$

The values  $\Delta(n-r, k-1, \mathbf{x}, \lambda)$  are given by the induction hypothesis. To calculate  $f_r(r, r)$  falls back to the case  $k=2$ . Apply the above procedure to calculate  $\Delta(n, 2, (y, z), \lambda)$ . In this case the recurrence (2.9) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(i, j) &= \binom{z + \lambda i}{j}, \\ f_{r+1}(i, j) &= f_r(i, j) - \frac{\binom{y + \lambda(n-i) - (n-j)}{j-r}}{\binom{y + \lambda(n-r) - (n-j)}{j-r}} \cdot \frac{f_r(r, j)}{f_r(r, r)} f_r(i, r). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

In the end, the block-triangular matrix that we obtain is actually a *triangular* matrix. Since we never permuted rows or columns, and since we always added multiples of columns of smaller index to columns of larger index, the manipulations that we performed left also the value of each *principal minor* unchanged. Recall that we denoted the principal minor of order  $r+1$  of  $\Delta(n, 2, (y, z), \lambda)$  by  $D_r(n, y, z, \lambda)$ . In view of the above remarks, the triangular form at the end of the elimination procedure yields the identity

$$\frac{D_r(n, y, z, \lambda)}{D_{r-1}(n, y, z, \lambda)} = \binom{y + \lambda(n-r)}{n-r} f_r(r, r).$$

However, the left-hand side can be calculated using (2.8). Thus, we arrive at

$$f_r(r, r) = \lambda^r \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r (y + z + (\lambda-1)n + i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} (y + (\lambda-1)n - \lambda i + r)}.$$

The recurrence (2.9) corresponds to recurrence (2.11) for  $y = |\bar{\mathbf{x}}|$  and  $z = x_k$ . Thus, the value of  $f_r(r, r)$  for any  $k$  is

$$f_r(r, r) = \lambda^r \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r (|\bar{\mathbf{x}}| + (\lambda-1)n + i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} (|\bar{\mathbf{x}}| + (\lambda-1)n - \lambda i + r)}.$$

Substituting this in (2.10) and using the induction hypothesis, one obtains (1.5).  $\square$



*Proof of the equivalence of Theorem 5 and 6.* If we replace  $\lambda$  by  $-\lambda$  and  $x_i$  by  $-x_i - 1$  for all  $i$  in (1.5), and then use the identity  $\binom{X}{m} = (-1)^m \binom{-X+m-1}{m}$ , then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \det_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)} \left( (-1)^n \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \boldsymbol{\beta} \\ \boldsymbol{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= (-\lambda)^{(k-1) \binom{n+k-1}{k}} \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{-|\mathbf{x}| - k - (\lambda + 1)n + i}{i} \right)^{\binom{n+k-i-1}{k-1}} \\ &= (-1)^{(k-1) \binom{n+k-1}{k} + \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n+k-i-1}{k-1}} \lambda^{(k-1) \binom{n+k-1}{k}} \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{|\mathbf{x}| + k + (\lambda + 1)n - i}{i} \right)^{\binom{n+k-i-1}{k-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Except for the signs, this is exactly (1.6). However, by another application of the Chu–Vandermonde summation formula,

$$\begin{aligned} & n \cdot |\mathcal{C}(n, k)| + (k-1) \binom{n+k-1}{k} + \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n+k-i-1}{k-1} \\ &= n \binom{n+k-1}{k-1} + (k-1) \binom{n+k-1}{k} + \binom{n+k-1}{k} = 2 \frac{(n-k-1)!}{(k-1)! (n-1)!}, \end{aligned}$$

Since this is an even number, the signs in (2.12) do indeed cancel.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 7.* The right-hand and left-hand sides of (1.5) are both polynomials in  $\lambda$  and the  $x_i$ 's. By inspection, the (total) degree in  $\lambda$  and the  $x_i$ 's of the determinant on the left-hand side is at most

$$n \cdot |\mathcal{C}(n, k)| = n \binom{n+k-1}{k-1} = k \binom{n+k-1}{k}.$$

On the other hand, the degree in  $\lambda$  and the  $x_i$ 's of the expression on the right-hand side is

$$\begin{aligned} & (k-1) \binom{n+k-1}{k} + \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{n+k-i-1}{k-1} = (k-1) \binom{n+k-1}{k} + \binom{n+k-1}{k} \\ &= k \binom{n+k-1}{k}, \end{aligned}$$

in view of the earlier computation (2.5). Therefore, if we extract the homogeneous parts in  $\lambda$  and the  $x_i$ 's of degree  $k \binom{n+k-1}{k}$  in (1.5), we obtain

$$\det_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)} \left( \frac{(\mathbf{x} + \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha})^\beta}{\boldsymbol{\beta}!} \right) = \lambda^{(k-1) \binom{n+k-1}{k}} (|\mathbf{x}| + \lambda n)^{\binom{n+k-1}{k}} \prod_{i=1}^n i^{-\binom{n+k-i-1}{k-1}},$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\beta}! = \prod_{i=1}^k \beta_i!$ , or, equivalently,

$$\det_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)} ((\mathbf{x} + \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha})^\beta) = \lambda^{(k-1) \binom{n+k-1}{k}} (|\mathbf{x}| + \lambda n)^{\binom{n+k-1}{k}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n i^{-\binom{n+k-i-1}{k-1}} \right) \left( \prod_{\beta \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)} \boldsymbol{\beta}! \right).$$

For the proof of the corollary, the only missing piece is the verification of the identity

$$\prod_{\beta \in \mathcal{C}(n,k)} \beta! = \prod_{\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k = n} \beta_1! \dots \beta_k! = \prod_{i=1}^n i^{k \binom{n+k-i-1}{k-1}}. \quad (2.13)$$

This can, for example, be done by induction on  $n+k$ , by using the obvious recurrence

$$\Pi(n, k) = \prod_{i=0}^n (i!^{\mathcal{C}(n-i, k-1)} \Pi(n-i, k-1)),$$

where

$$\Pi(n, k) = \prod_{\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k = n} \beta_1! \dots \beta_k!.$$

□

### 3. DETERMINANTS FOR COMPOSITIONS WITH ONLY POSITIVE PARTS

Let  $C^*(n, k)$  denote the set of all compositions of  $n$  with exactly  $k$  positive summands. Then we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  be a vector of indeterminates, and let  $\lambda$  be an indeterminate. Then, for any positive integers  $n$  and  $k$ ,  $n \geq k$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \det_{\alpha, \beta \in C^*(n,k)} \left( \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \\ \boldsymbol{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \lambda^{(k-1) \binom{n-1}{k-1}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^{n-k+1} \left( \frac{x_i + \lambda j}{j} \right)^{\binom{n-j-1}{k-2}} \right) \prod_{i=1}^{n-k} \left( \frac{|\mathbf{x}| + (\lambda-1)n + i}{i} \right)^{\binom{n-i-1}{k-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{1}$  be the  $k$ -vector with all entries equal to 1. The mapping  $C^*(n, k) \rightarrow C(n-k, k)$  defined by  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \mapsto \boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1} = (\alpha_1 - 1, \dots, \alpha_k - 1)$  is bijective. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \det_{\alpha, \beta \in C^*(n,k)} \left( \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \\ \boldsymbol{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \right) = \det_{\alpha, \beta \in C^*(n,k)} \left( \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \lambda \mathbf{1} + \lambda(\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{1}) \\ \boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \det_{\alpha, \beta \in C(n-k,k)} \left( \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \lambda \mathbf{1} + \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \\ \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \det_{\alpha, \beta \in C(n-k,k)} \left( \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} + (\lambda-1)\mathbf{1} + \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \\ \boldsymbol{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{x_i + \lambda + \lambda \alpha_i}{\beta_i + 1} \right) \\ &= \left( \prod_{\alpha \in C(n-k,k)} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{x_i + \lambda(\alpha_i + 1)}{\alpha_i + 1} \right) \det_{\alpha, \beta \in C(n-k,k)} \left( \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} + (\lambda-1)\mathbf{1} + \lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \\ \boldsymbol{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In the product, a ratio  $(x_i + \lambda(j+1))/(j+1)$  appears as many times as there are compositions in  $\mathcal{C}(n-k-j, k-1)$ , i.e.,  $\binom{n-j-2}{k-2}$  times. Thus,

$$\prod_{\alpha \in C(n-k,k)} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{x_i + \lambda(\alpha_i + 1)}{\alpha_i + 1} = \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=0}^{n-k} \left( \frac{x_i + \lambda(j+1)}{j+1} \right)^{\binom{n-j-2}{k-2}}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^{n-k+1} \left( \frac{x_i + \lambda j}{j} \right)^{\binom{n-j-1}{k-2}}.$$

Substituting this and using Theorem 5 with  $n$  replaced by  $n - k$  and  $\mathbf{x}$  replaced by  $\mathbf{x} + (\lambda - 1)\mathbf{1}$  to evaluate the determinant, we obtain the desired formula.  $\square$

By replacing  $\lambda$  by  $-\lambda$  and  $x_i$  by  $-x_i - 1$  for all  $i$  in (3.1), and then using the identity  $\binom{X}{m} = (-1)^m \binom{-X+m-1}{m}$ , we obtain the following equivalent form of Theorem 9.

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  be a vector of indeterminates, and let  $\lambda$  be an indeterminate. Then, for any positive integers  $n$  and  $k$ ,  $n \geq k$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \det_{\alpha, \beta \in C^*(n, k)} \left( \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \lambda \alpha + \beta \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \lambda^{(k-1)\binom{n-1}{k}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^{n-k+1} \left( \frac{x_i + \lambda j + 1}{j} \right)^{\binom{n-j-1}{k-2}} \right) \prod_{i=1}^{n-k} \left( \frac{|\mathbf{x}| + (\lambda + 1)n + k - i}{i} \right)^{\binom{n-i-1}{k-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Extracting the highest homogeneous component in Theorem 9, we obtain the following analogue of Corollary 7.

**Corollary 11.** *Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  be a vector of indeterminates, and let  $\lambda$  be an indeterminate. Then, for any positive integers  $n$  and  $k$ ,  $n \geq k$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \det_{\alpha, \beta \in C^*(n, k)} \left( (\mathbf{x} + \lambda \alpha)^\beta \right) \\ &= \lambda^{(k-1)\binom{n-1}{k}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^{n-k+1} (x_i + \lambda j)^{\binom{n-j-1}{k-2}} \right) (|\mathbf{x}| + \lambda n)^{\binom{n-1}{k}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-k} i^{(k-1)\binom{n-i-1}{k-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. M. Brunat and A. Montes, *The power-compositions determinant and its application to global optimization*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. **23** (2001), 459–471.
- [2] J. M. Brunat and A. Montes, *A polynomial generalization of the power-compositions determinant*, Linear Multilinear Algebra (to appear); available at <http://www-ma2.upc.edu/~montes/>.
- [3] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth and O. Patashnik, *Concrete Mathematics*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1989.
- [4] C. Krattenthaler, *Advanced determinant calculus*, Séminaire Lotharingien Combin. **42** (1999) (“The Andrews Festschrift”), Article B42q, 67 pp.
- [5] C. Krattenthaler, *Advanced determinant calculus: a complement*, preliminary version; [arXiv:math.CO/0503507v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0503507v1).
- [6] C. Krattenthaler, *Advanced determinant calculus: a complement*, Linear Algebra Appl. (to appear); [arXiv:math.CO/0503507](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0503507).

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTICA APLICADA II, UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE CATALUNYA, JORDI GIRONA 1–3, 08034 BARCELONA, SPAIN

INSTITUT CAMILLE JORDAN, UNIVERSITÉ CLAUDE BERNARD LYON-I, 21, AVENUE CLAUDE BERNARD, F-69622 VILLEURBANNE CEDEX, FRANCE.